UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,102	06/19/2006	Thomas Klettke	58741US004	7195
	7590 09/25/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427		YOON, TAE H		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/564,102	KLETTKE ET AL.	KLETTKE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tae H. Yoon	1796				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO period - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on so firme may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)☑ ₽	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ly 2000					
•							
′=	/						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
OI	osed in accordance with the practice under z	x pane Quayle, 1000 O.D. 1	1, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition	n of Claims						
4)⊠ C	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	n Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ 1. 2.	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.	3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s		4) 🖂 المنت المناسلة ا	many (DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:							

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited definition for R1, R2, R3, R4 and R5 in claim 6 improperly broadens scope of the amended claim 1 and lacks antecedent basis in claim 1. Thus, it is indefinite. For example, R1 can be only C₁-C₂₂ alkyl and all other functional group cannot be present in N-alkyl substituted aryl (or alkyl) sulfonic acid amides in claim 1. The same logic is applied to R2, and R3 cannot be H. R5 defined as the chemical linkage to a polymer is confusing and indefinite since the alkyl as R5 recited in claim 1, for example, cannot be linked to a polymer.

The recited "benzene sulfonic acid amide" in claim 8 improperly broadens scope of claim 1 and lacks antecedent basis in claim 1. Thus, it is indefinite.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckhardt et al (US 2003/0153726 A1) in view of Schmitt et al (US 4,167,618).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record with following response.

Page 3

Applicant states that "amides of alkylsulfonic acids and arylsulfonic acids" does not only comprise amides having a SO₂-NHR group, but also amides comprising a SO₂-NH₂ group or a SO₂-NR₂ group, wherein R is different from H. Applicant asserts unexpected result based on the filed 1.132 declaration, but it is insufficient to overcome the rejection for following reasons;

- 1. Applicant has admitted that amides of alkylsulfonic acids and arylsulfonic acids would encompass the instant species, and thus there would be no dispute that the instant compound with SO₂-NHR group would be an obvious variation of the amides taught by Eckhardt et al.
- 2. With respect to the 1.132 declaration, a comparison must be based on the closest prior art and not on applicant's own choice, especially in view of the fact that the instant claim recites "comprising" which permits presence of other components such as antacid-acting compound taught by Eckhardt et al. Thus, the data in said 1.132 declaration (SO₂-NHR vs. SO₂-NR₂) have little probative absent an antacid-acting compound. Also, it does not show SO₂-NH₂ group, and as a matter of fact, said SO₂-NH₂ group in Entry 14 of table 6 of specification has yielded has very similar results as SO₂-NHR group. Thus, said 1.132 declaration little probative value and applicant failed to show unexpected result. Even if somehow said 1.132 declaration (and the data in specification) had probative value, scope of claims is broader than said showing.
 - 3. Some claims still recite amides comprising a SO₂-NH₂ group.

Claims 1-4, 6-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zech et al (US 6,894,144 or WO 01/17483).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record with above response.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,102 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tae H Yoon/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

THY/September 23, 2009